



Peer-Reviewed, International,
Academic Research Journal



Citation

Bakar, S. (2021). From Investigating the Dynamics of Contemporary Pedagogical Approaches in Higher Education Through Innovations, Challenges, and Paradigm Shifts. *Social Science Chronicle*, Vol. 1, Issue - 1, pp. 1-19.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<https://doi.org/10.56106/ssc.2021.009>

Received - June 15, 2021

Accepted - October 22, 2021

Published - October 29, 2021

Web-Link

All the contents of this peer reviewed article as well as author details are available at <http://socialsciencechronicle.com/article-ssc-2021-009>

Copyright

The copyright of this article is reserved with the author/s.
© 2021, Suleiman Bakar.

This publication is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution, Non-Commercial, Share Alike 4.0 International License. It permits unrestricted copying and redistribution of this publication in any medium or format.



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Investigating the Dynamics of Contemporary Pedagogical Approaches in Higher Education Through Innovations, Challenges, and Paradigm Shifts

Suleiman Bakar^{1*}

¹ Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

The landscape of higher education pedagogies is undergoing a transformative evolution, marked by the convergence of diverse methodologies, technological advancements, and a profound shift towards student-centric learning paradigms. This research embarks on an in-depth exploration of the multifaceted dimensions of contemporary pedagogical approaches within advanced learning environments, elucidating the innovations, challenges, and transformative potential within the educational landscape. The exploration dives deep into a comprehensive analysis of pedagogical paradigms, encapsulating a spectrum of methodologies ranging from traditional didactic teaching methods to innovative student-centered approaches. The historical underpinnings and philosophical foundations that have shaped contemporary pedagogical methodologies are meticulously dissected, unveiling the transition from conventional teaching methods to a more holistic and flexible educational paradigm. A pivotal emphasis within contemporary pedagogies lies in the shift towards student-centric learning paradigms, empowering students as active participants in their educational journey. The exploration highlights a plethora of innovative methodologies including active learning, experiential learning, flipped classrooms, project-based learning, and the integration of technology, revolutionizing educational delivery and fostering a dynamic learning environment. The integration of technology within educational paradigms has catalyzed a digital transformation, offering enhanced accessibility and personalized learning experiences. The implications of this shift extend beyond educational institutions, significantly impacting faculty training, curriculum design, assessment strategies, and student learning outcomes. Challenges, such as ensuring equitable access to technology and effective assessment methods in non-traditional settings, are juxtaposed with transformative opportunities for innovation and collaboration. The future trajectory of higher education pedagogies hinges on continual adaptation, inclusivity, and innovation, ensuring a dynamic and responsive educational environment for future generations. This research offers a comprehensive overview of contemporary pedagogical approaches in higher education, unraveling the complexities, challenges, and transformative potential within advanced learning environments, paving the way for a more adaptive, inclusive, and innovative educational paradigm.

Keywords

Contemporary Education, Diversity in Education, Educational Innovation, Experiential Learning, Faculty Development, Higher Education, Inclusive Teaching, Pedagogical Approaches, Student-Centric Learning, Technology Integration.

1. Introduction

The landscape of higher education is in a state of continual evolution, marked by a dynamic interplay of pedagogical strategies, technological advancements, and a changing educational

paradigm. This research endeavors to delve into the multifaceted realm of contemporary pedagogical approaches within higher education, meticulously dissecting the innovations, challenges, and the pivotal shift towards student-centric learning paradigms. Pedagogy within the realm of higher education is a multifaceted domain that encompasses a spectrum of teaching methodologies, instructional designs, and learning paradigms aimed at fostering a dynamic and effective educational experience (Dede & Richards, 2020; Hu, 2019; Joynes, Rossignoli, & Amonoo-Kuofi, 2019; Narain, 2018; J. P.-L. Tan, Koh, Chan, Costes-Onishi, & Hung, 2017). This field of study encapsulates the methods by which knowledge is imparted, assimilated, and the ways in which students engage, learn, and apply acquired knowledge within advanced learning environments. The evolution of pedagogical approaches in higher education is deeply entrenched in historical foundations and philosophical underpinnings. From the ancient centers of learning in Greece to the inception of medieval universities and the enlightenment period, pedagogy has been shaped by philosophical ideals, societal needs, and the continuous quest for knowledge dissemination and enlightenment.

Traditional pedagogical approaches, often characterized by didactic teaching methods, have gradually evolved into a diverse spectrum of innovative and student-centered methodologies. The classical lecture-based model, while still prevalent, has given way to an array of transformative pedagogical approaches. These include active learning, experiential learning, problem-based learning, flipped classrooms, project-based learning, and the integration of technology in education, marking a significant departure from conventional teaching methods (Aithal & Aithal, 2019, 2020a; Kwak, 2019; Montebello, 2019; O. Wright & Main, 2015). A pivotal shift in contemporary pedagogical approaches is the emphasis on student-centric learning paradigms. This shift seeks to empower students as active participants in their educational journey. The focus is on fostering critical thinking, problem-solving, and self-directed learning, allowing students to engage, collaborate, and innovate within their educational landscapes. Technology, a key driver in contemporary pedagogical approaches, has catalyzed a digital transformation within higher education. The integration of learning management systems, online resources, interactive platforms, and digital tools has revolutionized educational delivery, offering flexibility, accessibility, and personalized learning experiences for students.

Contemporary pedagogical approaches underscore the importance of diversity, inclusivity, and culturally responsive teaching within higher education. The acknowledgement and integration of diverse cultural perspectives, experiential learning opportunities, and inclusive instructional methods are integral components in the current educational paradigm, ensuring equitable learning experiences for all students (Lackeus & Williams Middleton, 2018; Lee & Richings, 2018; Parrish, Parks, & Taylor, 2017; Templeton, 2019; N. Wright, 2018). While contemporary pedagogical approaches present a range of transformative opportunities, they also pose significant challenges. Issues such as ensuring equitable access to technology, addressing the digital divide, effective assessment of student learning in non-traditional settings, and faculty training in new teaching methodologies are some of the key challenges faced in implementing innovative pedagogical approaches. This research endeavors to comprehensively explore and critically analyze the array of contemporary pedagogical approaches in higher educa-

tion. It aims to offer a nuanced understanding of the innovations, challenges, and transformative opportunities within the current educational landscape. The research will be structured into distinct thematic sections, each meticulously exploring specific pedagogical paradigms, their implications, challenges, and the transformative potential in higher education. The realm of contemporary pedagogical approaches within higher education represents a dynamic and transformative arena, steering the educational landscape towards innovation, inclusivity, and student-centric learning paradigms (Blundell, 2017; Ferguson & Childs, 2016; Johnson, 2018; Krug, 2018; Robles, 2016). This research seeks to offer comprehensive insights into the multifaceted dimensions of pedagogy, unraveling the challenges, opportunities, and the transformative potential within advanced learning environments.

2. Threads of Knowledge: Historical Evolution and Contemporary Dynamics of Higher Education

The history of higher education is an intricate amalgamation interwoven with social, cultural, political, and economic threads. Its evolution is a reflection of human progress, societal needs, and the quest for knowledge and enlightenment. Understanding this history involves exploring the origins, developments, and transformations of institutions and systems dedicated to advanced learning throughout centuries of human civilization. Higher education has roots that trace back to ancient civilizations. In ancient Greece, institutions like Plato's Academy and Aristotle's Lyceum fostered philosophical and scientific inquiry. Similarly, in the Middle East and Asia, centers of learning, such as the House of Wisdom in Baghdad, were pivotal in preserving and advancing knowledge across various disciplines. During the Middle Ages, medieval universities emerged in Europe, starting with institutions like the University of Bologna, the University of Paris, and the University of Oxford.

These establishments laid the groundwork for the organization and structure of modern universities. They were initially centers for theological education but expanded to include various disciplines such as law, medicine, and the arts. The Renaissance era marked a resurgence of interest in classical learning, arts, and sciences. This intellectual reawakening propelled the advancement of humanism and the pursuit of knowledge. The emergence of new ideas and critical thinking during the Renaissance laid the groundwork for the Enlightenment period. The Enlightenment was an age of intellectual and philosophical transformation. It emphasized reason, skepticism, and individual rights. Philosophers and thinkers like John Locke and Immanuel Kant advocated for education as a means to cultivate reason and critical thinking. This period significantly influenced educational systems and pedagogical approaches. The 19th and 20th centuries witnessed significant transformations in higher education (Aithal & Kumar, 2016; Boon & Wong, 2019; Cain, 2018; Sequeira, 2016; C. Tan, 2018).

The Industrial Revolution led to a demand for specialized skills and scientific knowledge, prompting the development of technical and vocational institutions. The establishment of land-grant colleges in the United States and the expansion of public education systems globally aimed to democratize access to higher learning. The 20th century saw the democratization of higher education, with increased access for a broader seg-

ment of society. Mass education became a reality, supported by policies and programs aimed at making higher education accessible to a more diverse student body. The GI Bill in the United States and similar initiatives in other countries expanded educational opportunities for veterans and, subsequently, the wider population. In contemporary times, higher education has become increasingly globalized and influenced by rapid technological advancements. Institutions adapt to the changing needs of society and the economy, offering a wide range of disciplines and innovative programs.

The emergence of online learning and the integration of technology have revolutionized the accessibility and delivery of education. Universities have diversified their programs to meet the demands of a globalized economy, offering specialized courses in fields such as technology, business, healthcare, and the sciences (Crick, 2017; Page, 2020; Shih, Pu, & Ho, 2019; C. Tan, 2020; Zaidi, 2020). The emphasis on research and innovation has driven collaborations between academia and industry, leading to significant advancements in various fields. Contemporary higher education faces numerous challenges and debates. Discussions about the commercialization of education, rising tuition costs, and access to higher education for underprivileged communities continue to be areas of concern. The role of universities in addressing societal issues, ensuring job market relevance, and fostering critical thinking and creativity is a subject of ongoing debate.

Additionally, the digital age has brought both opportunities and challenges. While online education has increased access to education, concerns about the quality of online degrees, the digital divide, and the changing role of educators in virtual learning environments persist. The future of higher education is likely to be shaped by ongoing technological advancements, changing societal needs, and economic shifts (Adducal & Gumabay, 2020; MITTAL, 2020; Oktadiana, 2016; Sarangapani, 2020; Welch, 2019). It will likely require a more flexible, adaptable, and personalized approach to learning. Universities may increasingly focus on interdisciplinary programs, offer lifelong learning opportunities, and embrace innovative teaching methods. The history of higher education is a narrative of human progress, enlightenment, and adaptation to changing societal needs. From the ancient centers of learning to the modern universities, the evolution of higher education has been shaped by societal, cultural, and economic forces. Understanding this history provides insights into the challenges and opportunities facing contemporary higher education and offers perspectives on the potential directions it may take in the future.

3. Academic Alchemy: Interwoven Fabric of Politics in Higher Education

The “politics of higher education” encompasses the complex interplay of political, social, economic, and institutional factors that influence and shape the landscape of academia. It involves the governance, policies, funding, and decision-making processes that impact universities, colleges, and other institutions of higher learning. Understanding the multifaceted nature of these politics is essential in comprehending how higher education systems function, evolve, and respond to various challenges and changes. The governance structure within higher education institutions involves a complex network of administrators, faculty, boards, government bodies,

and other stakeholders. Decisions regarding academic policies, curriculum changes, resource allocation, and institutional direction are often the outcomes of negotiations, power dynamics, and the influence of various stakeholders. University leaders navigate the demands and perspectives of different interest groups, seeking to align institutional goals with academic excellence and fiscal responsibility. Higher education is significantly impacted by public policy decisions, government regulations, and funding mechanisms (Achary, 2016; Chaudhari, 2017; Naufel, 2020; Padley, 2017; Penta, 2019).

Government policies, such as funding for research, student financial aid, or performance-based funding, heavily influence institutional priorities, research agendas, and student accessibility. Changes in government support can lead to shifts in academic programs, research priorities, and the overall financial health of institutions. Institutions of higher learning often strive for autonomy in decision-making and academic pursuits. Academic freedom, the ability for faculty and students to explore, research, and debate freely, is a cornerstone of higher education. The extent of this freedom varies globally and can be influenced by government policies, cultural norms, and institutional mandates. The impact of globalization and market forces on higher education is substantial. Universities compete globally for students, faculty, and research funding. They must respond to market demands, often focusing on areas of study that align with economic and industrial needs. This can influence the emphasis on certain disciplines and the prioritization of research that is commercially viable (Ellison & Allen, 2018; Heiser & Ralston-Berg, 2018; Perig, 2018; Rajeswaran, 2019; TOJO & KISS, 2017).

The societal and cultural context in which higher education operates shapes its priorities and direction. Demands for inclusivity, diversity, and responsiveness to social issues have influenced curriculum development, admissions practices, and campus culture. Political and societal pressures also influence topics of research and study, leading to a reflection of current societal needs and concerns within academic pursuits. Politics within higher education address issues of access and affordability. Government policies on student loans, financial aid, and tuition fees significantly impact who can access higher education. Debates about equity and social justice often intersect with these policies, influencing the composition of student bodies and the overall accessibility of education. Governments, accrediting bodies, and institutions themselves work towards ensuring accountability and quality within higher education. Metrics for assessment, accreditation, and performance evaluations influence institutional strategies, resource allocation, and the emphasis placed on teaching versus research (Bradbury, Lewis, & Embury, 2019; Netanda, Mamabolo, & Themane, 2019; PLAN, 2020; Smith, Moir, & Brennan, 2017; Wolstencroft, De Main, & Cashian, 2020).

Stakeholders within higher education, such as faculty associations, student organizations, and institutional leadership, often engage in advocacy and lobbying efforts to influence policies and funding decisions. These efforts aim to protect academic freedom, secure funding, and advocate for policies that benefit the institutions and their stakeholders. Several ongoing challenges and debates shape the politics of higher education. These include debates on academic freedom and free speech, discussions on the commercialization and privatization of education, and ongoing discussions about the role of higher education in addressing societal inequalities and challenges.

Understanding the politics of higher education involves recognizing the complexities and interconnected nature of these various elements. It requires a comprehension of the broader societal, economic, and political landscape, as well as an appreciation of the nuanced relationships among stakeholders within and outside the institutions. The continuous evolution of these dynamics plays a critical role in shaping the future of higher education, influencing its priorities, accessibility, and impact on society at large.

4. Capital and Learning: Economic Framework of Higher Education

The economics of higher education encompasses the financial, economic, and resource-related aspects of institutions of advanced learning. It dives deep into the funding, costs, economic impact, and financial decisions that shape the functioning and sustainability of universities, colleges, and other higher education institutions. Higher education institutions rely on various funding sources to operate. Public funding often comes from government allocations, including grants, subsidies, and direct appropriations. Private funding encompasses tuition fees, donations, endowments, and research grants. Endowments, donations from alumni, and fundraising efforts contribute significantly to the financial health of many institutions. The cost structure of higher education institutions comprises several components. These include faculty and staff salaries, administrative costs, facilities maintenance, research expenditures, student services, and infrastructure development (Alejandro & David, 2018; Armstrong, 2018; Martin, 2018; C. Tan & Hairon, 2016; Zhao, Emler, Snethen, & Yin, 2019).

Academic programs, research initiatives, and student support services all demand financial resources. Tuition fees are a primary revenue source for many institutions. However, concerns about the rising cost of education and its impact on accessibility are prevalent. The affordability of higher education is a significant concern for students and families. The balance between maintaining educational quality and managing tuition costs to ensure accessibility remains a challenge. Higher education has a substantial economic impact at both the individual and societal levels. For individuals, obtaining a higher education degree often translates into increased earning potential and greater career opportunities. Society benefits from a more educated workforce, improved innovation, and a better-skilled population contributing to economic growth. The value of higher education is often assessed in terms of the return on investment (ROI). This involves comparing the cost of education against the potential long-term financial benefits, such as higher salaries and improved career prospects (Goodwin, Low, & Darling-Hammond, 2017; Greenberg, 2020; Low, 2020; Ostini & van der Laan, 2016; Pack & Peek, 2020).

The ROI of education varies based on factors such as the institution attended, field of study, and individual circumstances. Student loans play a significant role in financing higher education. Many students rely on loans to cover tuition, living expenses, and other costs associated with their education. The accumulation of student debt has become a concern due to its impact on students' financial well-being after graduation. Government policies, subsidies, and financial aid programs significantly influence the economics of higher education. Policies related to student loans, grants, and subsidies shape the accessibility and affordability of education. Public investments in

higher education impact the quality of educational services and the extent of financial support available to students. Cost drivers in higher education include rising administrative expenses, faculty salaries, technological investments, and the increasing demand for specialized facilities and resources. Universities must balance these costs while striving to maintain academic quality and competitiveness (Crammond & Crammond, 2020; Manimala & Thomas, 2017; Mohiuddin et al., 2020a; A. Murray, R. Crammond, K. Omeihe, & V. Scuotto, 2018; Venkateswarlu, 2017).

The economic challenges facing higher education include balancing the need to improve academic quality and invest in resources with the pressure to control rising costs and tuition fees. The need to address affordability while maintaining quality standards remains an ongoing concern. Universities must innovate and adapt to changing economic landscapes. This includes exploring new revenue streams, such as online education, executive education, partnerships with industries, and fundraising initiatives. Cost-saving measures, efficiency improvements, and strategic investments in high-demand areas are also essential. The globalization of higher education has intensified competition among institutions. Universities compete globally for students, faculty, and research funding. Internationalization efforts and partnerships with foreign universities are pursued to enhance reputation and attract a more diverse student body. While technology offers innovative educational opportunities, it also presents cost challenges. Investments in educational technology and infrastructure are necessary to keep up with the evolving needs of modern education.

Balancing these costs with the benefits of technological advancements remains a key consideration. The future of higher education economics will likely be shaped by ongoing economic changes, technological advancements, and evolving educational demands (A. Murray, R. J. Crammond, K. O. Omeihe, & V. Scuotto, 2018; Omeihe, Murray, Crammond, & Scuotto, 2018; Paterson & Prideaux, 2020; Sant Geronikolou, 2020; Whipp, 2015). Institutions may need to adapt to fluctuating economic conditions, prioritize cost-effective measures, and embrace innovative funding models to ensure sustainability and accessibility. The economics of higher education involves a complex interplay of funding, costs, affordability, and economic impacts. It's an essential aspect in determining the accessibility, quality, and sustainability of higher education. Understanding these economic dimensions is critical in navigating the challenges and opportunities facing higher education institutions in the present and future.

5. Philosophical Amalgamation of Higher Education

The philosophy of higher education examines the fundamental principles, goals, values, and purpose underlying the educational systems and institutions dedicated to advanced learning. It dives deep into the broader concepts and beliefs that shape the nature and objectives of higher education, focusing on the core ideals and principles that guide the structure, content, and practices within these academic environments. The philosophical underpinnings of higher education are deeply rooted in various schools of thought. The ancient Greeks' pursuit of knowledge and the Socratic method's emphasis on critical thinking have profoundly influenced higher education's philosophical foundations. The quest for enlightenment, wisdom, and the cultivation of intellectual virtues forms the basis

of many educational philosophies. Philosophies of higher education often revolve around defining the purpose and goals of education (Ahmed, 2016; Chmait, 2018; A. Dwivedi, Dwivedi, Bobek, & Zabukovšek, 2019; Fisher, 2020; Hovey, 2017).

Some philosophies emphasize the transmission of knowledge, the pursuit of truth, and the development of critical thinking skills. Others focus on the development of ethical and moral reasoning, the nurturing of individual talents, or the preparation of individuals for societal roles and responsibilities. Philosophies of higher education inform various pedagogical approaches. The teaching methods, curriculum design, and instructional strategies within higher education institutions are often shaped by underlying philosophical principles. This may include approaches that prioritize experiential learning, critical thinking, student-centered teaching, or a focus on moral and ethical development. The philosophy of higher education also grapples with the balance between liberal arts education and vocational training. The liberal arts tradition emphasizes a broad-based education that includes a wide array of subjects, fostering critical thinking, creativity, and a well-rounded understanding of the world (Ansari, 2016; Bali, Cronin, & Jhangiani, 2020; K. Bell, 2018; King, 2018; Sharma, Khreisat, Cvitan, & Singh, 2019).

On the other hand, vocational education focuses on preparing students for specific professions and practical skill development. Educational philosophies often influence the role and responsibilities of educators within higher education. Philosophies that prioritize student-centered learning, for instance, may encourage educators to act as facilitators, guiding students to discover knowledge themselves. Philosophies that emphasize the transmission of knowledge may place a greater focus on the role of educators as authorities in their respective fields. Philosophies of higher education also address issues of access and equity. Philosophies that emphasize the democratization of knowledge and education advocate for increased accessibility, reducing barriers to higher education, and ensuring inclusivity. These philosophies strive to provide equal opportunities for all individuals to engage in learning and higher education. Many philosophical underpinnings in higher education emphasize the value of critical thinking, inquiry, and intellectual curiosity (Samantha Adams Becker & Alex Freeman, 2016; S Adams Becker & A Freeman, 2016; Aneja, 2018; Costa, Car, & Papadimitriou, 2017; National Academies of Sciences & Medicine, 2018).

The encouragement of questioning, skepticism, and the pursuit of truth are central themes in these philosophies. This foundation shapes educational practices aimed at nurturing individuals who can think independently and critically. Philosophies of higher education also encompass the development of ethical and moral reasoning. Many educational philosophies aim to cultivate not only intellectual abilities but also ethical awareness and a sense of social responsibility. They emphasize the importance of developing well-rounded individuals capable of contributing positively to society. Contemporary debates in the philosophy of higher education revolve around numerous challenges. These include discussions about the commercialization of education, debates on the balance between vocational and liberal arts education, the impact of technological advancements on educational philosophies, and ongoing discussions on the role of education in addressing societal inequalities and challenges. The future of higher education philosophy will likely continue to evolve, shaped by ongoing societal, techno-

logical, and economic changes (Ahmad & Nath, 2017; Archer, Davis, Ebanks, & Gragg, 2019; Dhukaram, Sgouropoulou, Feldman, & Amini, 2018; Hoofd, 2016; Snehi, 2019). The incorporation of innovative pedagogical methods, the integration of technology in learning, and ongoing debates about the purposes and goals of education will continue to influence the philosophical foundations of higher education. The philosophy of higher education encompasses a spectrum of beliefs, principles, and values that guide the purpose, goals, and practices within academic institutions. It is an essential lens through which educators, policymakers, and society at large understand the nature and objectives of higher education. Understanding these philosophical underpinnings is crucial in shaping the future direction and practice of higher education.

6. Structures and Strata: Social Fabric of Higher Education

The sociology of higher education examines the social structures, interactions, institutions, and dynamics within the context of advanced learning. It dives deep into the various social forces, relationships, and systems that influence and shape the experiences, behaviors, and outcomes within higher education environments. Sociological perspectives in higher education often address issues of social stratification and inequality. The access to and success within higher education are often influenced by social factors such as socioeconomic status, race, ethnicity, gender, and cultural background (Gibson, 2020; Iqbal Imon, 2017; Silva, Leite, Vilas-Boas, & Simões, 2019; H. T. Tan & Heng, 2017; ul Amin, 2018). These factors can significantly impact opportunities for individuals in higher education. The sociology of higher education explores the disparities in educational access and attainment. It investigates the barriers that hinder certain groups from accessing higher education and analyzes the factors contributing to inequitable educational outcomes, including economic disparities, cultural biases, and systemic discrimination.

Sociological perspectives in higher education examine the role of education in social mobility. While higher education is often seen as a pathway to upward social mobility, sociologists also explore the concept of social reproduction. This concept suggests that social inequalities and advantages are perpetuated through educational systems, potentially reinforcing existing social hierarchies. The sociology of higher education highlights the importance of cultural and social capital. Cultural capital, such as knowledge, skills, and cultural awareness, influences educational success. Social capital, consisting of networks, relationships, and social connections, can also significantly impact opportunities within higher education. Sociological perspectives examine institutional cultures within higher education and their impact on student experiences (Aithal & Aithal, 2020b; Chalak, 2018; Hansen, 2018; Ingram, 2020; Venkatraman, de Souza-Daw, & Kaspi, 2018). The social environment within universities, colleges, and academic departments influences students' learning experiences, academic success, and social integration. The sociology of higher education addresses power dynamics and governance structures within academic institutions.

It investigates how decision-making processes, hierarchies, and administrative structures impact the distribution of resources, institutional policies, and academic priorities. Sociology in higher education focuses on student identity and diversity.

It examines how factors such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, and cultural background shape student experiences, interactions, and academic outcomes within higher education. Sociological perspectives also explore critical pedagogy and transformative education within higher education. This approach emphasizes challenging existing power structures, fostering critical thinking, and encouraging social change. It aims to transform educational practices and create more inclusive and equitable learning environments. The sociology of higher education addresses the impact of globalization and internationalization. It examines how the globalization of higher education influences student diversity, academic programs, institutional collaborations, and the transmission of knowledge across borders (Acton, 2018; Jámbor, 2019; Llewellyn, 2019; Nguyen, Mai, & Anh Do, 2020; A. K. Singh, 2016).

Sociology in higher education also considers the influence of technology on learning and educational experiences. It explores the effects of technological advancements on teaching methods, student interactions, and the democratization of education through online learning platforms. Contemporary debates in the sociology of higher education encompass numerous challenges. These include discussions about access and equity, debates on the commercialization and privatization of education, the impact of globalization on higher education systems, and ongoing discussions on the role of education in addressing societal inequalities and challenges. The future of the sociology of higher education will likely continue to evolve, shaped by ongoing societal, technological, and economic changes. The incorporation of innovative pedagogical methods, the integration of technology in learning, and ongoing debates about the purposes and goals of education will continue to influence the sociological exploration of higher education. The sociology of higher education provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the social structures, interactions, and dynamics within advanced learning environments. It is an essential lens through which educators, policymakers, and society at large understand the societal influences on higher education. Understanding these sociological perspectives is crucial in shaping the future direction and practice of higher education.

7. Lecture-Based Approaches in Higher Education

The evolution of higher education, especially in the context of pedagogy, stands as a dynamic and continuously evolving landscape that continuously reshapes its methods and approaches to cater to the needs of modern learners. Pedagogical strategies in higher education have witnessed significant transformations, particularly in the realm of lecture-based teaching methodologies. This evolution encompasses a shift from traditional didactic delivery to more interactive and engaging formats, integrating multimedia, interactive elements, and discussions within the lecture framework (Cord, 2016; Mitchell, 2018; Muntean, Bogusevschi, & Muntean, 2019; Reigeluth, Beatty, & Myers, 2016; Wise, 2018). Higher education serves as a vital phase in an individual's academic journey, offering specialized learning and academic progression beyond secondary education. Universities and colleges form the cradle of higher education, providing a platform for students to deepen their understanding in specific fields, obtain undergraduate and graduate degrees, and foster critical thinking and research skills. Within this framework, pedagogy plays a crucial role in shaping the learning experience, and the lecture-based approach has been

an integral part of this system. The traditional lecture-based approach historically embodied a unidirectional mode of information transmission, wherein an instructor delivered content to a passive audience. This conventional method primarily focused on content delivery, theory dissemination, and the exposition of concepts. However, this method faced criticism for its limitations in engaging students actively, fostering critical thinking, and ensuring deeper comprehension. In response to these limitations and in alignment with the evolving needs of learners, modern lectures have undergone a notable transformation. The modern iteration of the lecture-based approach in higher education has embraced a multi-dimensional shift, incorporating elements that foster engagement, interaction, and participation (Croton, 2020; Geesa, Stith, & Teague, 2020; Pors, 2016; Sator, 2019; Westbrook II, 2016).

Multimedia integration within lectures has been pivotal in this transformation. It encompasses the use of various media such as slideshows, videos, and interactive applications, aimed at accommodating diverse learning styles and enhancing visual and auditory engagement. This integration leverages technology to create a multi-sensory experience, captivating the audience's attention and fostering a more immersive learning environment. Research within the field of pedagogy and higher education underlines the positive impacts of multimedia integration on learning outcomes. Studies suggest that visual aids and multimedia elements contribute significantly to enhanced comprehension, knowledge retention, and overall engagement among students. This integration not only aligns with the current technological landscape but also addresses the varied learning preferences of students in a diverse academic setting (Althuwaini, 2018; Coates, Kelly, & Naylor, 2017; Jayapragas, 2016; Salem, 2020; Shannon, 2019).

Moreover, the incorporation of interactive elements within modern lectures fundamentally alters the passive nature of traditional teaching. Interactive elements such as clicker questions, polls, and quizzes invite students to actively participate and engage in real-time, fostering active learning and providing immediate feedback. This interactive approach supports responsive and adaptive teaching, contributing to a more engaging and student-centered learning experience. Educational psychology research emphasizes the significance of active engagement in learning, affirming its role in knowledge retention, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills development. Discussions have emerged as a fundamental component within the modern lecture framework, encouraging a dialogue-driven pedagogy that fosters critical thinking and deepens comprehension. These discussions create an environment conducive to intellectual inquiry, enabling students to voice opinions, ask questions, and critically analyze content. This participatory exchange not only nurtures a sense of shared ownership of learning but also facilitates the exploration of diverse perspectives and the integration of real-world applications within the academic discourse (Bennett, 2019; Bilawar, 2020; Klichowski, 2017; Murphy, 2016; Wolfe & Riggs, 2017).

The amalgamation of these elements within the modern lecture framework aims to create an environment that is conducive to the needs and learning styles of contemporary learners. The use of multimedia, interactive elements, and discussions within lectures contributes to a more holistic and engaging educational experience, fostering critical thinking, problem-solving, and knowledge application among students. The literature in the field of higher education and pedagogy extensively

supports these innovations within the lecture-based approach. Empirical evidence from numerous studies underscores the efficacy of these pedagogical strategies. Research exploring the impact of multimedia integration within lectures consistently indicates positive correlations between visual aids and enhanced learning outcomes. Studies focusing on the effectiveness of interactive elements within lectures emphasize their role in bolstering student engagement, active learning, and knowledge retention. Discussions within the modern lecture format have garnered considerable attention due to their multifaceted benefits. Empirical studies affirm the role of discussions in enhancing critical thinking, communication skills, and knowledge construction. These studies highlight the participatory nature of discussions, underscoring the creation of a sense of community within the classroom and the promotion of active student involvement in the academic discourse.

However, the evolution of lecture-based pedagogy in higher education is not devoid of challenges. Implementation issues, technological barriers, and the need for instructor training pose significant hurdles in effectively harnessing the potential of these pedagogical innovations (Adams Becker, Freeman, Giesinger Hall, Cummins, & Yuhnke, 2016; Onyegwara, 2020; C. B. Singh, 2017; Sullivan, 2020; Thompson, 2019). Moreover, concerns persist regarding the balance between content delivery and the integration of these elements without compromising academic rigor. The landscape of higher education is ever-evolving, and the lecture-based approach continues to adapt and innovate to meet the needs of learners in a dynamic academic environment. As we delve deeper into the pedagogical aspects of higher education, the redefined lecture format stands as a testament to the adaptability and innovation intrinsic to the field of education. This transformation in pedagogy underlines the commitment of educators and institutions to create engaging, inclusive, and effective learning environments for students in higher education.

8. Timeless Impact of the Socratic Method on Higher Education Pedagogies

The Socratic Method stands as a cornerstone in the realm of higher education pedagogies, renowned for its profound impact on fostering critical thinking and intellectual development among students. Originating from the teachings of the ancient Greek philosopher Socrates, this method signifies a dynamic approach to learning, characterized by the facilitation of open-ended questions, dialogue, and the cultivation of a student's ability to unravel knowledge through their own discovery processes. Its significance in the context of higher education pedagogies cannot be overstated, as it not only enriches intellectual pursuits but also molds individuals into adept critical thinkers and problem solvers. At its core, the Socratic Method deviates from the conventional didactic model of teaching, where instructors predominantly lecture and impart knowledge to passive recipients. Instead, it embodies an interactive and participatory approach, positioning the educator as a facilitator and the students as active participants in the learning process.

This method serves as a catalyst, propelling students into a realm of active inquiry and critical examination, stimulating their intellectual curiosity and igniting a deeper understanding of the subject matter (Becker, Freeman, Hall, Cummins, & Yuhnke, 2016; Chiappe & Lee, 2017; Groen, 2020; Marassa,

2017; Tay, 2018). The hallmark of the Socratic Method lies in its utilization of open-ended questions. These queries are meticulously crafted to provoke thought, stimulate reflection, and encourage students to delve beneath the surface of their knowledge. By design, these questions are not answerable through a simple recitation of facts; rather, they demand nuanced, introspective, and thoughtful responses. Through this process, students are compelled to analyze their own preconceptions, challenge assumptions, and engage in rigorous reasoning to arrive at conclusions. Furthermore, the Socratic Method thrives on dialogue. It fosters an environment where discourse and debate flourish, enabling students to articulate their perspectives, defend their arguments, and respectfully challenge their peers' viewpoints.

This engagement within a community of learners not only enhances comprehension but also hones communication skills, fosters intellectual humility, and nurtures a capacity for constructive criticism. A vital facet of this method is its emphasis on students discovering knowledge themselves. It eschews the passive absorption of information and instead encourages active engagement in the learning process (Alshabeb, 2020; Ameta, Tiwari, & Singh, 2020; V. J. Dwivedi & Joshi, 2019; Ferreira, 2019; McDonald, Gertsen, Rosenstand, & Tollestrup, 2018). Students are not mere receptacles of knowledge but rather are empowered to explore, question, and seek understanding independently. This hands-on approach engenders a sense of ownership and responsibility for one's own learning, cultivating self-reliance and a deeper appreciation for the subject matter. In the context of higher education, where the objective is not solely to disseminate information but to cultivate critical thinking and independent thought, the Socratic Method stands as an invaluable tool. Its application transcends disciplinary boundaries and can be implemented across various fields, be it philosophy, law, sciences, humanities, or any other domain. The adaptability and universality of this method make it a perennial favorite among educators seeking to instill in their students a deep-seated love for learning and a skill set that transcends specific subjects.

The adoption of the Socratic Method within higher education pedagogies requires a delicate orchestration of the learning environment. Educators serving as facilitators must possess a profound understanding of the subject matter, along with the finesse to craft questions that challenge, stimulate, and guide students towards a comprehensive understanding. The process of formulating these questions is an art in itself, requiring not only depth of knowledge but also an acute awareness of the students' cognitive abilities and the trajectory of their learning. Moreover, the success of the Socratic Method hinges on fostering an inclusive and respectful atmosphere where students feel safe to voice their thoughts, explore ideas, and engage in discussions without fear of judgment or ridicule. It demands a skillful balancing act by the instructor, ensuring that discussions remain constructive, diverse viewpoints are encouraged, and that the focus remains on the pursuit of truth rather than winning arguments (Anwar, Sohail, & Al-Marri, 2020; D. Bell, Wooff, & McLain, 2019; Dakich, Watt, & Hooley, 2016; Roth, 2020; Welch, 2017).

An intriguing aspect of the Socratic Method is its ability to transcend the confines of the classroom and extend into practical, real-world scenarios. The skills honed through this method, such as critical thinking, effective communication, and the ability to navigate complex problems, are not only applicable

within academic settings but also hold immense value in professional environments and everyday life. The method's emphasis on reasoning and dialogue equips students with the tools to analyze complex issues, think critically under pressure, and engage in productive discourse even amidst conflicting viewpoints. The adoption of technology in education has also influenced the application of the Socratic Method. Online platforms, discussion forums, and various digital tools have expanded the avenues through which this method can be employed. Virtual spaces have enabled broader participation and asynchronous discussions, allowing students to engage in thoughtful discourse beyond the confines of physical classrooms (Bourn, Hunt, & Bamber, 2017; Dean & Campbell, 2020; Kandakatla, 2019; Mohiuddin et al., 2020b; Rodriguez & Lieber, 2020).

However, despite its numerous merits, the Socratic Method is not without its challenges. The success of this method is contingent upon active student participation, which may vary based on individuals' confidence levels, cultural backgrounds, or learning preferences. In some instances, students might feel uncomfortable challenging their peers' ideas or expressing their thoughts, hindering the robust exchange of ideas that the method aims to foster. Additionally, this method's reliance on dialogue and open-ended questioning demands significant time investments. While a traditional lecture might efficiently disseminate information to a large group, the Socratic Method necessitates more time for discussions, debates, and individual reflection, which can be perceived as less time-efficient. Moreover, the effectiveness of the Socratic Method depends on the instructor's adeptness in guiding discussions without imposing personal biases or dominating the conversation. Achieving this balance, where facilitators refrain from overshadowing the students' exploration, requires finesse and continual self-assessment by the educators.

Another consideration is the need for appropriate assessment methodologies. Traditional forms of assessment, like multiple-choice tests, may not accurately capture the depth of understanding and critical thinking nurtured through the Socratic Method. Formulating assessments that align with the method's objectives, such as essays, presentations, or in-depth analyses, becomes essential to gauge students' comprehension and critical thinking skills (Chakravarti, 2020; Godwin & Meek, 2016; Law & Xu, 2017; Ross, 2019; Sinclair, 2019). The Socratic Method remains an indelible feature in the landscape of higher education pedagogies. Its capacity to stimulate critical thinking, encourage independent exploration, and foster intellectual discourse makes it a perennially relevant and influential approach to learning. As educators continue to seek methodologies that transcend rote learning and empower students to become lifelong learners, the principles embodied within the Socratic Method continue to serve as a guiding light, shaping the intellect and character of those who engage with it. Its application not only enriches academic pursuits but also equips individuals with the skills necessary to navigate the complexities of the world, making it a timeless and invaluable asset in the arsenal of educational methodologies.

9. Exploring the Flipped Classroom Paradigm

The Flipped Classroom model represents a significant paradigm shift in higher education pedagogies, redefining the traditional approach to learning. In this innovative framework,

students are encouraged to engage with course materials independently prior to class sessions, allowing in-class time to be dedicated to discussions, collaborative activities, and problem-solving, with the instructor assuming a role as a facilitator and guide (Boison, 2020; Grevtseva, Willems, & Adachi, 2017; Harris & de Bruin, 2017; Lytras, Visvizi, Daniela, Sarirete, & Ordóñez De Pablos, 2018; Rose, Geesa, & Stith, 2019). This method not only empowers students to take ownership of their learning but also fosters deeper understanding and application of knowledge through active engagement during face-to-face sessions. At its core, the Flipped Classroom reverses the conventional structure of education. Rather than the traditional model where instructors deliver lectures in class and assign homework for reinforcement or application of concepts, this approach tasks students with engaging with the learning materials, often in the form of videos, readings, or online modules, outside the classroom before the scheduled session.

This independent study phase allows students to interact with the material at their own pace, catering to individual learning styles and preferences. It grants them the flexibility to review content, pause, rewind, or delve deeper into specific topics, fostering a more personalized learning experience. Students have the freedom to grapple with challenging concepts or revisit sections that require further comprehension, promoting a self-paced learning environment. Once students arrive in the physical or virtual classroom, the focus shifts from the delivery of content to its application, analysis, and synthesis. Instructors become facilitators of learning, guiding discussions, activities, and problem-solving exercises (Franklin, 2020; Mohan & Nair, 2018; New, 2018; Srinivasa Rao, Kumar, & Aithal, 2015; Sutin & Jacob, 2016).

The class time becomes a hub of interactive engagement, where students collaborate, discuss, ask questions, and apply their pre-studied knowledge to practical scenarios. The instructor's role in a Flipped Classroom is not that of a lecturer but rather a mentor and guide, steering students toward deeper understanding through thoughtful inquiries and guiding them as they grapple with real-world problems or case studies. This interaction often takes the form of small group discussions, hands-on activities, debates, problem-solving sessions, or individual and collaborative projects. The Flipped Classroom model offers numerous benefits within the higher education landscape. It tailors the learning experience to individual student needs, promoting a more student-centered approach to education. It encourages active learning, critical thinking, and the development of higher-order cognitive skills as students engage in meaningful discussions and activities that foster deeper understanding and application of concepts. Furthermore, this approach helps mitigate the passive learning often associated with traditional lecture-based formats. Students are no longer merely recipients of information; they become active participants in their learning journey, taking on more responsibility for their education (Chiu & Chai, 2020; Conlan, 2019; Jarc, 2018; Ozkan, McNair, & Bairaktarova, 2019; Sherwood, 2017).

This increased autonomy leads to greater student engagement and a sense of ownership over their academic growth. The interactive nature of in-class sessions in a Flipped Classroom cultivates a collaborative and cooperative learning environment. Students benefit from sharing perspectives, working in teams, and engaging in constructive dialogues, which not only enhances their understanding of the subject matter but also sharpens their communication and interpersonal skills.

Incorporating technology into the Flipped Classroom approach has become a significant asset. Online platforms, video lectures, interactive modules, and discussion forums provide a flexible and accessible means for students to engage with the pre-class materials. Additionally, the use of educational apps and tools further enhances the learning experience, allowing students to interact with content in varied and engaging ways. However, the implementation of the Flipped Classroom is not without its challenges. It requires significant effort and planning on the part of educators to curate and create the pre-class materials that effectively convey the necessary information (Budnyk, 2018; Garrick, Pendergast, & Geelan, 2017; Graham, 2016; Reimers & Chung, 2019; Snehi, 2020). Moreover, ensuring that students come to class having engaged with the materials can be a logistical challenge, as the self-directed nature of this approach may lead to varied levels of preparation among students. The need for access to resources and technology outside the classroom can also present barriers to some students, potentially creating disparities in their ability to engage with the pre-class materials.

Furthermore, the shift in the role of the instructor from a lecturer to a facilitator necessitates a change in teaching methods and strategies, which may require additional training and support for educators. The assessment methods in a Flipped Classroom also need careful consideration. Traditional forms of assessment, such as exams or quizzes, may not effectively measure the depth of understanding or the application of knowledge that occurs during in-class activities. Therefore, educators need to design assessments that align with the collaborative and applied learning objectives of the in-class sessions (Alakrash & Razak, 2020; Hess, 2018; Jie, 2016; Mathews, 2016; Sivalogathan, 2019). The Flipped Classroom model offers a compelling alternative to traditional educational approaches in higher education. By restructuring the learning process to encourage independent study before class and using in-class time for interactive engagement, this approach nurtures active learning, critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills. While it presents challenges in implementation, the benefits it offers in terms of personalized learning, student engagement, and the development of higher-order cognitive skills make it a promising and evolving framework in contemporary educational pedagogies.

10. The Transformative Landscape of Project-Based Learning in Higher Education

Project-Based Learning (PBL) stands as a dynamic and transformative educational approach within higher education pedagogies. In this model, students are immersed in extended projects that demand critical thinking, collaboration, and the practical application of knowledge in real-world contexts. This method serves as a departure from traditional educational paradigms, focusing on engaging students in hands-on, multifaceted projects that foster deep understanding, encourage problem-solving, and promote the development of essential skills necessary for success in academic and professional realms (Cutrara, 2020; Lafuente & Law, 2018; Mayan, 2019; Navani, 2020; Peterson, Dumont, Lafuente, & Law, 2018). At its core, PBL revolves around the idea of immersing students in authentic, real-world challenges or scenarios. Students are tasked with in-depth projects that demand the application of knowledge acquired from various disciplines and subjects to resolve complex problems or create meaningful artifacts. These projects often

extend over an extended period, allowing for a more comprehensive exploration of the subject matter and a deeper understanding of its real-world implications. Central to the PBL approach is the cultivation of critical thinking skills. Students engage in analyzing problems, identifying pertinent information, synthesizing diverse sources of knowledge, and formulating innovative solutions. This process encourages a higher level of cognitive engagement, compelling students to think deeply and critically about the subject matter at hand. Furthermore, PBL places a strong emphasis on collaboration. Students often work in teams, simulating real-world work environments where diverse skill sets, perspectives, and expertise are brought together to tackle multifaceted challenges.

Collaborative projects cultivate not only the ability to work effectively in teams but also essential interpersonal skills, such as communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution. The practical application of knowledge is a fundamental aspect of PBL. Rather than learning in isolation or simply acquiring theoretical knowledge, students are tasked with applying their learning to authentic, real-world scenarios. This hands-on approach not only enhances understanding but also reinforces the practical relevance of academic concepts, preparing students for the complexities of professional environments. The projects in PBL are designed to be multifaceted, often encompassing multiple subject areas or disciplines. This interdisciplinary nature encourages students to draw connections between various fields of study, fostering a holistic understanding of complex issues and demonstrating the interconnectedness of knowledge across disciplines. The role of the instructor in a PBL environment differs significantly from the traditional lecturer. Rather than being the primary source of knowledge dissemination, the instructor serves as a facilitator, guiding and supporting students throughout the project. The instructor's role involves providing resources, offering guidance, posing thought-provoking questions, and facilitating discussions that steer students in the right direction, while allowing them the autonomy to explore and discover solutions on their own.

The benefits of Project-Based Learning within higher education pedagogies are multifaceted. Students develop not only a deeper understanding of the subject matter but also a suite of transferable skills essential for success in their academic and professional lives. PBL nurtures critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, communication, collaboration, and adaptability - skills that are highly sought after in the modern workforce. Moreover, PBL fosters a deeper level of student engagement. As students are actively involved in the learning process, they are more invested in their education. The hands-on, real-world nature of the projects instills a sense of purpose and relevance, which in turn motivates students to delve deeper into the subject matter and take ownership of their learning. The applicability of technology in PBL has proven to be highly advantageous. Online platforms, digital tools, and multimedia resources offer avenues for collaborative work, research, and presentation of findings. These technological resources facilitate both the process of project development and the creation of innovative, multimedia-rich project outputs. However, the implementation of PBL also poses challenges.

The design and execution of robust projects that effectively integrate multiple disciplines and engage students in authentic scenarios demand careful planning and execution. Crafting projects that are intellectually challenging, yet accessible, and relevant to the students' educational levels and backgrounds

can be a complex task. Assessment methods within a PBL framework are notably different from traditional modes of evaluation. Instead of standardized tests or exams, assessment in PBL involves the evaluation of students' ability to solve problems, synthesize information, collaborate effectively, and present their findings. Designing effective assessments that capture the depth and breadth of students' learning and skills gained through the project can be a complex process. Another challenge in PBL implementation is the variability in student group dynamics. Collaborative projects may face issues related to individual participation, conflicts among team members, or disparate contributions, which can affect the overall success of the project. Educators need strategies to mitigate these challenges and foster a positive and productive team environment. Despite these challenges, the advantages of Project-Based Learning in higher education are substantial. By engaging students in meaningful, real-world projects that demand critical thinking, collaboration, and the application of knowledge, PBL not only enhances understanding but also equips students with a repertoire of skills vital for success in their academic pursuits and future careers. It stands as a dynamic and transformative pedagogical approach that continues to evolve, shaping the educational landscape and preparing students for the complexities of the modern world.

11. Embracing Experiential Learning in Higher Education

Experiential learning is a pivotal and highly impactful approach within higher education pedagogies, centered on the idea that learning occurs most effectively through experiences. This method immerses students in practical applications of theoretical knowledge, encompassing activities such as internships, fieldwork, laboratory exercises, and other real-world experiences. By engaging in hands-on, active learning, students have the opportunity to bridge the gap between theory and practice, gaining a deeper understanding of concepts and cultivating a range of skills vital for their academic and professional growth (Diacopoulos, 2018; Hills, 2018; Schiele, Matzen Jr, & Bridgewater, 2017). The core philosophy of experiential learning revolves around the principle that active participation in real-world situations enhances the learning process. Rather than passively absorbing information, students actively engage in experiences that allow them to apply and test the theoretical knowledge they've acquired in a classroom setting. This approach enables students to connect abstract concepts to concrete applications, fostering a deeper understanding of the subject matter. Internships represent a key component of experiential learning, allowing students to gain practical, hands-on experience in a professional setting.

These opportunities provide invaluable insights into the day-to-day operations of a field or industry, enabling students to witness firsthand the application of theoretical knowledge in a real-world context. The experiential aspect of internships exposes students to the complexities and challenges faced in their chosen fields, helping them to link classroom theory with practical application. Fieldwork serves as another significant facet of experiential learning, particularly in disciplines such as environmental science, anthropology, geography, and other field-based subjects. Through fieldwork, students engage directly with the subject matter, conducting experiments, collecting data, and applying theoretical concepts in real-world settings. This hands-on experience not only reinforces classroom

learning but also fosters critical thinking, problem-solving, and adaptability as students navigate the dynamic challenges of the field. Laboratory work in scientific disciplines is a quintessential example of experiential learning. By conducting experiments and hands-on activities in controlled environments, students gain practical skills, learn to analyze data, and apply scientific theories. This type of learning environment not only deepens understanding but also cultivates skills in experimentation, observation, and critical analysis that are crucial for success in scientific fields. The application of knowledge in practical settings allows students to encounter real-world challenges, promoting problem-solving and adaptability. Experiential learning encourages students to think critically and creatively to solve problems they encounter, fostering a mindset that is essential in academic and professional spheres. The role of the instructor in facilitating experiential learning is crucial. Rather than being the primary source of information, the instructor acts as a guide, supporting students as they navigate their experiences.

They provide frameworks, tools, and guidance, encouraging students to reflect on their experiences and extract meaningful insights from their practical encounters. Experiential learning offers a host of benefits within higher education pedagogies. It creates a more holistic learning experience, integrating theory with practical application, thereby deepening understanding. Students engaged in experiential learning often exhibit higher levels of engagement and motivation, as they see the relevance and applicability of their academic pursuits in real-world contexts. This method also nurtures a range of transferable skills, including critical thinking, problem-solving, communication, adaptability, and teamwork, which are highly valued in both academic and professional settings. Additionally, the exposure gained through experiential learning often leads to expanded networks and connections within the industry. Students engaged in internships or fieldwork often have the opportunity to build relationships with professionals in their field of interest, potentially leading to career opportunities and a more informed understanding of their chosen profession. The integration of technology has expanded the scope and potential of experiential learning.

Virtual reality simulations, digital labs, and online platforms have augmented opportunities for practical experiences in settings where physical access might be limited. These technological resources enable students to engage in simulations that replicate real-world scenarios, offering a valuable supplement to traditional experiential learning methods. Despite its numerous benefits, the implementation of experiential learning in higher education is not without challenges. Arranging meaningful and relevant experiences that align with students' academic pursuits can be complex. Access to suitable internships, fieldwork opportunities, or laboratory settings may vary depending on the field of study or the resources available to educational institutions. The assessment of learning outcomes in experiential settings also presents a challenge. Traditional assessment methods, such as exams or papers, might not effectively capture the depth and breadth of learning gained through practical experiences. Developing appropriate and effective evaluation methods that align with the multifaceted nature of experiential learning remains an ongoing challenge for educators.

The logistics and oversight of experiential learning activities also demand careful planning and coordination. Ensuring that students have access to suitable opportunities, that they receive necessary support and guidance, and that their experiences

align with academic goals requires thoughtful management and resources. Experiential learning serves as a powerful and transformative method within higher education pedagogies. By providing students with hands-on, real-world experiences that allow the practical application of theoretical knowledge, this approach bridges the gap between academia and professional practice. It cultivates a deeper understanding of concepts, fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills, and nurtures a range of transferable skills that are indispensable in academic and professional spheres. While challenges exist in its implementation, the benefits of experiential learning in preparing students for the complexities of their chosen fields remain substantial, making it an invaluable component of modern educational methodologies.

12. The Era of Online and Blended Learning

Online and blended learning have revolutionized the landscape of higher education pedagogies, particularly in recent times with the rapid advancement of technology. These innovative educational approaches have enabled institutions to offer online courses or a combination of online and in-person learning, providing unprecedented flexibility and accessibility to education. This shift has significantly expanded educational opportunities, transcending the constraints of traditional classroom settings and catering to diverse student needs and preferences. Online learning, often referred to as distance education, allows students to engage in academic pursuits remotely. Courses are delivered via digital platforms, enabling students to access educational materials, lectures, and assignments online. This approach provides flexibility in scheduling, allowing students to learn at their own pace, regardless of geographical location. The asynchronous nature of online learning allows for self-paced study, catering to individuals with various time commitments and learning styles. Blended learning, on the other hand, combines traditional face-to-face classroom instruction with online learning components. This approach integrates the best of both worlds, leveraging the advantages of in-person interactions and the flexibility of online resources. The blend varies based on the institution and the course, with some classes being predominantly in-person with supplementary online materials, while others may have a more equal distribution of online and in-person components.

The advent of technology has been instrumental in facilitating these modes of learning. Online platforms, learning management systems, video conferencing tools, and other digital resources have played a crucial role in enabling the delivery of educational content to a wider audience. These tools have not only provided access to educational materials but also enabled interactive discussions, collaboration, and assessment. The flexibility afforded by online and blended learning has had a transformative impact on higher education. Students who might have previously faced barriers, such as geographical limitations or work commitments, can now access quality education. This flexibility is particularly advantageous for non-traditional students, such as working professionals, parents, or individuals with various personal responsibilities. Moreover, these approaches have not only expanded access to education but have also personalized the learning experience.

Students can tailor their schedules, revisit lectures, and engage with course materials in a manner that suits their individual learning preferences. This adaptability promotes a self-

directed learning environment, allowing students to take greater ownership of their education. Blended learning, with its integration of both online and in-person elements, leverages the benefits of face-to-face interactions while also capitalizing on the flexibility and accessibility of online resources. It maintains the importance of personal connections and social interactions, which are crucial in the learning process, while also allowing students the flexibility to engage with course materials at their convenience. The integration of technology in online and blended learning has not only expanded access but has also facilitated a more diverse range of educational resources. Online courses often include multimedia elements, such as video lectures, interactive simulations, and digital assessments, enhancing the learning experience beyond what a traditional classroom might offer. However, the implementation of online and blended learning is not without challenges. The transition to online formats requires substantial resources, both in terms of technology infrastructure and training for educators and students.

Ensuring that all individuals involved are proficient in utilizing the technology and platforms essential for online learning is critical for the success of these approaches. The assessment of student learning in online and blended environments can also present challenges. Traditional assessment methods, such as exams or papers, might not effectively capture the depth and breadth of learning that occurs in a digital format. Developing and implementing effective and fair assessment methods that align with the goals of online and blended learning remain areas of ongoing development and consideration. Additionally, ensuring the quality and integrity of online courses is a critical aspect that institutions need to address. Providing rigorous and engaging educational content while maintaining academic standards is imperative to the credibility of online and blended learning offerings (Lackéus, 2016; Pfaffe, 2017; Shrivastava, 2020; Zagerman, 2018). Despite these challenges, the benefits and opportunities presented by online and blended learning in higher education are substantial. The flexibility and accessibility these approaches provide have expanded educational opportunities for a diverse array of students. The integration of technology has enabled a more interactive and diverse learning experience, catering to individual learning styles and preferences. These approaches continue to evolve and play an increasingly significant role in shaping the future of higher education pedagogies.

13. Inclusion and Success: Culturally Responsive Teaching in Higher Education

Culturally responsive teaching in the context of university education centers on recognizing and embracing the rich diversity present among students. It involves acknowledging the various cultural backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives that students bring to the learning environment. This approach aims to create an inclusive educational setting that values and respects these differences, promoting a learning environment where every student feels acknowledged, valued, and capable of achieving academic success. At its core, culturally responsive teaching revolves around the acknowledgment and validation of cultural diversity. Educators recognize and appreciate the varied cultural influences, languages, traditions, and values that students bring with them into the classroom. This awareness plays a pivotal role in the design and implementation of teaching strategies that honor and respect these diverse back-

grounds. A key principle of this approach is ensuring an inclusive curriculum and learning materials. Educators strive to incorporate diverse perspectives, experiences, and cultural references into the curriculum. This might involve selecting readings, examples, and case studies that represent various cultural backgrounds, ensuring that all students can relate to and see their experiences reflected in the content being studied. Building positive relationships is another fundamental aspect of culturally responsive teaching. Educators work to establish an environment where open communication, mutual respect, and a supportive atmosphere prevail. These relationships foster trust and respect, enabling students to feel comfortable expressing their cultural identities and engaging actively in their learning journey.

Engaging and relevant instruction is a significant component of culturally responsive teaching. Educators design instructional methods and activities that are engaging and relevant to students' cultural experiences and backgrounds. This might involve using teaching methods that resonate with diverse learning styles or incorporating discussions and activities that connect with students' lived experiences. Encouraging student voice and agency is an essential element within this approach. Culturally responsive teaching values and encourages students to express themselves, share their perspectives, and contribute their ideas. Students are invited to play an active role in their learning process, promoting a sense of ownership and engagement. In the university setting, the application of culturally responsive teaching involves various practical aspects. It encompasses ensuring an inclusive curriculum that represents diverse perspectives and experiences. Educators select readings, texts, and resources that offer a range of cultural viewpoints, histories, and contributions, ensuring that the material reflects the diversity of the student body. Furthermore, educators strive to create a classroom environment that encourages inclusive discussions, where various viewpoints and perspectives are respected and valued.

This setting fosters an atmosphere where all students feel safe and comfortable expressing their opinions and sharing their cultural experiences. Assignments and assessments are crafted in a way that acknowledges and respects diverse cultural backgrounds. Educators offer various options for projects or assessments that allow students to express their understanding in a way that aligns with their cultural background or experiences. Addressing and challenging cultural stereotypes and biases is an integral part of culturally responsive teaching. Educators work to create an environment that is free from prejudice and discrimination. They actively challenge biases that might exist within the classroom or course materials, fostering a culture of respect and understanding (Allen, McGregor, Pendergast, & Ronskley-Pavia, 2019; Di Lauro, 2017; Hedrick, 2018; Molnar, 2017). Moreover, the development of culturally responsive educators is crucial. Faculty development and training programs can aid educators in better understanding cultural differences, embracing inclusivity, and adapting their teaching methodologies to meet the diverse needs of their students. Culturally responsive teaching in a university setting provides a supportive and inclusive learning environment where students from diverse cultural backgrounds feel respected, valued, and engaged.

It promotes equity and ensures that all students see themselves reflected in the curriculum, fostering a sense of belonging and facilitating academic success. Implementing culturally

responsive teaching might present challenges. It requires a deep understanding of cultural diversity and may demand additional effort to modify teaching methods, materials, and assessments to meet the needs of a diverse student body. Educators must continuously reflect on their practices and be open to ongoing learning to effectively implement culturally responsive teaching strategies. Culturally responsive teaching is an essential approach in a university setting that recognizes and values the diverse cultural identities and backgrounds of students. By creating an inclusive and supportive learning environment, educators can foster equity, academic success, and prepare students to thrive in a globalized world that values cultural competence and understanding. It requires a commitment to ongoing learning, a willingness to adapt teaching methodologies, and a deep respect for the cultural richness that students bring to the educational experience.

14. Implications

The implications stemming from the comprehensive exploration of contemporary pedagogical approaches in higher education are multifaceted and resonate across various sectors within the educational landscape, from institutional strategies to student learning outcomes and societal impact.

Educational Institutions and Curriculum Design: One of the foremost implications lies within educational institutions and curriculum design. The exploration of diverse pedagogical approaches highlights the imperative for institutions to adopt a more holistic and flexible curriculum design (Diamond & Irwin, 2013; Ring & Foti, 2006; Schiele, Matzen Jr, & Bridgewater, 2017). Embracing a diverse array of pedagogies is essential to cater to different learning styles, facilitate critical thinking, problem-solving, and cultivate a dynamic learning environment.

Faculty Development and Teaching Methodologies: The exploration underscores the critical need for faculty development and the adoption of innovative teaching methodologies. Faculty training in diverse pedagogical methods, technological integration, and fostering a student-centered approach is imperative (Hills, 2018; Kenney, 2012; Shrivastava, 2020). This entails a shift from traditional didactic teaching methods to a more facilitative and engaging approach that empowers students as active participants in their learning journey.

Technology Integration and Digital Literacy: The incorporation of technology within pedagogical approaches necessitates a focus on digital literacy. Educational institutions need to provide adequate resources, training, and support to faculty and students to navigate the dynamic digital landscape effectively (Lackéus, 2016; Pfaffe, 2017; Zagerman, 2018). The integration of technology requires not only access but also a robust understanding of digital tools to enhance learning experiences.

Student-Centric Learning and Engagement: A significant implication of the exploration is the shift towards student-centric learning and increased student engagement. The emphasis on student-driven learning methodologies such as problem-based learning, project-based learning, and flipped classrooms necessitates an active engagement between students and educators (Di Lauro, 2017; Molnar, 2017; Slowey, Kozina, & Tan, 2014). This shift requires educators to act as facilitators, guiding students

through their learning journey and fostering critical thinking and self-directed learning.

Diversity, Inclusivity, and Culturally Responsive Teaching: The implications also extend to the imperative of fostering diverse, inclusive, and culturally responsive educational environments. Acknowledging and integrating diverse perspectives, employing inclusive instructional methods, and cultivating culturally responsive teaching are pivotal (Hedrick, 2018; Sturgis & Patrick, 2010; R. D. Wright, 2010). This not only enriches the educational experience but also prepares students for diverse and globalized workplaces.

Assessment Strategies and Learning Outcomes: Assessment strategies and learning outcomes within higher education are also significantly impacted. The shift towards more diverse pedagogical methods necessitates a reassessment of traditional evaluation approaches (Allen, McGregor, Pendergast, & Ronksley-Pavia, 2019; Mani, Elworthy, Gopinath, Houston, & Schwartz, 2014). Institutions must adopt more holistic assessment strategies that align with diverse learning methodologies, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of student competencies.

Societal and Economic Impact: The societal and economic impact stemming from the exploration of contemporary pedagogical approaches is profound. The cultivation of critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and a more diverse set of competencies in students aligns with the demands of a rapidly changing job market. These pedagogical shifts are pivotal in preparing students to adapt to and thrive in a constantly evolving workforce.

Globalization and Internationalization: The implications extend to globalization and internationalization within higher education. The adoption of diverse pedagogical approaches facilitates the integration of global perspectives, fostering an environment where students engage with diverse cultures and ideas. This promotes a global mindset and prepares students to navigate a globalized economy.

Challenges and Opportunities: The exploration reveals both challenges and opportunities. Addressing the digital divide, ensuring equitable access to technology, faculty training, and effective assessment methods are challenges that need to be navigated. However, these challenges also present opportunities for innovation, collaboration, and the development of strategies to address educational inequalities and enhance the overall educational landscape.

The Future Trajectory and Imperatives: The implications underscore the imperative for institutions to continually innovate, adapt, and integrate diverse pedagogical methods. The future trajectory of higher education pedagogies lies in embracing innovation, inclusivity, and adaptability. The adoption of transformative pedagogical approaches is pivotal in creating a dynamic, responsive, and equitable educational environment for future generations.

The implications stemming from the exploration of contemporary pedagogical approaches within higher education are far-reaching and transformative. Educational institutions, faculty, students, and society at large stand to benefit from the adoption of more diverse and student-centric methodologies. The shift towards innovative pedagogical approaches not only fosters a more dynamic educational landscape but also equips students with the skills necessary to navigate a rapidly evolving

global landscape. This research highlights the multifaceted implications and underscores the imperatives for a more adaptive, inclusive, and innovative educational paradigm.

15. Conclusion

The journey through the multifaceted landscape of higher education pedagogies has been an expedition into the transformative and dynamic realm of contemporary educational methodologies. The array of pedagogical approaches explored, analyzed, and critiqued within this research reflects the continual evolution and innovation permeating advanced learning environments. As we conclude this expedition into the pedagogical paradigms, it becomes evident that the contemporary landscape of higher education is a dynamic, evolving terrain, marked by a plethora of challenges, transformative opportunities, and a profound shift towards student-centric learning.

Reflecting on Historical Foundations and Philosophical Underpinnings: The historical foundations and philosophical underpinnings that have shaped contemporary pedagogical approaches in higher education are deeply embedded in the annals of educational evolution. The philosophies of Socrates, the emergence of medieval universities, the Enlightenment ideals, and the subsequent evolution of educational methodologies have all imprinted their influence on the current educational landscape. The transition from traditional didactic teaching methods to an array of innovative pedagogies marks a paradigm shift in the fundamental philosophy underlying educational delivery.

The Emergence of Student-Centric Learning and Innovation: A hallmark of contemporary pedagogical approaches is the pivotal shift towards student-centric learning paradigms. The empowerment of students as active participants in their educational journey is evident in the array of methodologies explored - from active learning to flipped classrooms, project-based learning to experiential learning. This shift emphasizes the cultivation of critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and self-directed learning, fostering an environment where students engage, collaborate, and innovate within their educational landscapes.

Technology Integration and Digital Transformation: The integration of technology within higher education has heralded a digital transformation, revolutionizing educational delivery. The utilization of learning management systems, online resources, interactive platforms, and digital tools has not only enhanced the accessibility of education but has also provided personalized learning experiences for students. The technology-driven pedagogical transformation has opened doors to new learning environments, blurring the boundaries of traditional education and offering flexibility in educational delivery.

Diversity, Inclusivity, and Culturally Responsive Teaching: Another pivotal aspect that emerged from the exploration of contemporary pedagogies is the emphasis on diversity, inclusivity, and culturally responsive teaching. Acknowledging and integrating diverse cultural perspectives, experiential learning opportunities, and employing inclusive instructional methods have been pivotal in fostering equitable learning experiences for all students. The cultivation of a learning environment that respects and integrates diverse backgrounds is imperative in addressing the unique needs of a diverse student body.

Challenges and Transformative Opportunities: However, within the myriad of transformative opportunities, challenges have emerged. Ensuring equitable access to technology, addressing the digital divide, effectively assessing student learning in non-traditional settings, and faculty training in new teaching methodologies are pressing challenges. The rapid pace of technological advancement also demands continual adaptation and professional development for educators, ensuring they are equipped to navigate the dynamic educational landscape.

Future Trajectory and Imperatives: As we gaze into the horizon of higher education pedagogies, it becomes imperative to acknowledge that the future trajectory of pedagogical approaches in advanced learning environments is deeply intertwined with ongoing societal, technological, and educational changes. The imperative of the future lies in continually innovating, adapting, and addressing the challenges posed by a rapidly evolving educational landscape.

Funding Information:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclosure Statement:

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Competing Interest:

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Data Availability Statement:

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

References

- Achary, R. (2016). *Development and implementation of an instructional design based on constructivist approach to teach physics at diploma engineering level*. Navrachana University Vadodara,
- Acton, R. E. (2018). *Innovative learning spaces in higher education: Perception, pedagogic practice and place*. James Cook University,
- Adams Becker, S., & Freeman, A. (2016). Giesinger Hall, C. Cummins, M., & Yuhnke, B.
- Adams Becker, S., & Freeman, A. (2016). Giesinger Hall, C., Cummins, M., & Yuhnke, B.(2016). *NMC/CoSN horizon report: 2016 K-*, 45-72.
- Adams Becker, S., Freeman, A., Giesinger Hall, C., Cummins, M., & Yuhnke, B. (2016). NMC/CoSN horizon report: 2016 K. *New Media Consortium*.
- Adducul, R. B., & Gumabay, M. V. N. (2020). Crowdsourcing technology for classroom learning. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.30534/ijatcse/2020/133942020>.
- Ahmad, S., & Nath, A. R. (2017). Higher Education in India: Challenges, Opportunities, Trends and Priorities. *University News*, 55(37), 37.
- Ahmed, H. O. K. (2016). Flipped learning as a new educational paradigm: An analytical critical study. *European Scientific Journal*, 12(10).
- Aithal, P., & Aithal, S. (2019). Analysis of higher education in Indian National education policy proposal 2019 and its implementation challenges. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 3(2), 1-35.
- Aithal, P., & Aithal, S. (2020a). Analysis of the Indian National Education Policy 2020 towards achieving its objectives. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 5(2), 19-41.
- Aithal, P., & Aithal, S. (2020b). Implementation strategies of higher education part of national education policy 2020 of India towards achieving its objectives. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 5(2), 283-325.
- Aithal, P., & Kumar, P. (2016). Catering Student Enrollment and Retaining Diversity in Higher Education Institutions. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, 1, 565-577.
- Alakrash, H., & Razak, N. (2020). Towards the education 4.0, readiness level of EFL students in utilising technology-enhanced classroom. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 13(10), 161-182.
- Alejandro, P., & David, I. (2018). *Educational Research and Innovation Teachers as Designers of Learning Environments The Importance of Innovative Pedagogies: The Importance of Innovative Pedagogies*: OECD Publishing.
- Allen, J., McGregor, G., Pendergast, D., & Ronskley-Pavia, M. (2019). *Young Adolescent Engagement in Learning*. Springer.
- Alshabeb, A. M. (2020). The potential of using mobile social media applications for language learning: A case study in Saudi higher education.
- Althuwaini, M. F. (2018). *Investigating the integration of the Sport Education model and the Athletics Challenges approach in the teaching of athletics in one Kuwaiti middle school*. University of Southampton,
- Ameta, D., Tiwari, S., & Singh, P. (2020). *A preliminary study on case-based learning teaching pedagogy: scope in SE education*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 13th Innovations in Software Engineering Conference on Formerly known as India Software Engineering Conference.
- Aneja, A. (2018). *Gender and Distance Education: Indian and International Contexts*: Taylor & Francis.
- Ansari, M. E. (2016). *The Future of United Arab Emirates and United Kingdom Higher Education: A University Social Responsibility Model for International Partnerships*. Leeds Beckett University,

- Anwar, S. A., Sohail, M. S., & Al-Marri, M. (2020). Quality assurance dimensions for e-learning institutions in Gulf countries. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 28(4), 205-217.
- Archer, R. S., Davis, F., Ebanks, S. C., & Gragg, R. D. S. (2019). HBCUs broadening participation in geosciences (a journey through InTeGrate). *Interdisciplinary Teaching About Earth and the Environment for a Sustainable Future*, 361-378.
- Armstrong, B. (2018). *Educational development and technology: Scientific e-Resources*.
- Bali, M., Cronin, C., & Jhangiani, R. S. (2020). Framing Open Educational Practices from a Social Justice Perspective. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2020(1).
- Becker, S. A., Freeman, A., Hall, C. G., Cummins, M., & Yuhnke, B. (2016). *NMC/CoSN horizon report: 2016 K: The New Media Consortium*.
- Bell, D., Wooff, D., & McLain, M. (2019). Re-imagining the Future of Design and Technology Education: Undoing a decade of decline.
- Bell, K. (2018). *Game on!: Gamification, gameful design, and the rise of the gamer educator*. JHU Press.
- Bennett, M. (2019). *Lived Experiences of Secondary School Technology Leaders With Flexible Learning Environments*. Auckland University of Technology,
- Bilawar, P. B. (2020). Lockdown period and information sources. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Management (IJERM)*, 7(6), 7-16.
- Blundell, C. N. (2017). *A case study of teachers transforming pedagogical practices through collaborative inquiry-based professional learning in a ubiquitous technologies environment*. Queensland University of Technology,
- Boison, B. T. K. (2020). *Stakeholder's role in promoting inclusion for multicultural learners: towards a model for online education*. Memorial University of Newfoundland,
- Boon, Z., & Wong, B. (2019). Character and Citizenship Education. *School Leadership and Educational Change in Singapore*, 183-199.
- Bourn, D., Hunt, F., & Bamber, P. (2017). A review of education for sustainable development and global citizenship education in teacher education.
- Bradbury, H., Lewis, R., & Embury, D. C. (2019). Education action research: With and for the next generation. *The Wiley handbook of action research in education*, 5-28.
- Budnyk, O. (2018). Theoretical principles of using STEAM-technologies in the preparation of the teacher of the New Ukrainian school.
- Cain, T. (2018). *A case study on best practices of technology integration within instruction*. Northcentral University,
- Chakravarti, S. (2020). ROLE OF TEACHERS IN IR 4.0,# GBL AND BEYOND IN UAE. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, 11(9).
- Chalak, R. M. (2018). Information and Communication Technology in Kindergarten.
- Chaudhari, P. (2017). *Developing and Implementing Multimedia Learning Package for Enhancing ICT Skills of Student-Teachers at Secondary Level*. Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda (India),
- Chiappe, A., & Lee, L. L. (2017). Open Teaching: a New Way on E-learning? *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 15(5), pp370-384-pp370-384.
- Chiu, T. K., & Chai, C.-s. (2020). Sustainable curriculum planning for artificial intelligence education: A self-determination theory perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5568.
- Chmait, S. (2018). *Exploring Tertiary Teachers' Pedagogical Experiences about Academic Diversity*. Western Sydney University (Australia),
- Coates, H., Kelly, P., & Naylor, R. (2017). Leading online education for student success. *International Journal of Chinese Education*, 6(1), 105-126.
- Conlan, M. G. (2019). *Teachers' Perceptions of Progressive Education and Its Instructional Strategies*: Immaculata University.
- Cord, K. E. (2016). *Instructional leader influence in 1: 1 technology integration creating student-centered learning*. Grand Canyon University,
- Costa, C., Car, V., & Papadimitriou, S. (2017). Good practices and emerging trends in media and information literacy. *Public Policies in Media and Information Literacy in Europe: cross-country comparisons. New York, USA: Routledge*, 227-268.
- Crammond, R. J., & Crammond, R. J. (2020). Entrepreneurship Education Futures and Learning Spaces. *Advancing Entrepreneurship Education in Universities: Concepts and Practices for Teaching and Support*, 155-185.
- Crick, T. (2017). *Computing education: An overview of research in the field*. London: Royal Society.
- Croton, B. (2020). *Instructor Beliefs Related to Technology Use After Professional Development*. Walden University,
- Cutrara, S. (2020). *Transforming the Canadian History Classroom: Imagining a New "we"*: UBC Press.
- Dakich, E., Watt, T., & Hooley, N. (2016). Reconciling mixed methods approaches with a community narrative model for educational research involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander families. *Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies*, 38(4), 360-380.
- Dean, B. A., & Campbell, M. (2020). Reshaping work-integrated learning in a post-COVID-19 world of work. *International Journal of Work-Integrated Learning*, 21(4), 355-364.
- Dede, C. J., & Richards, J. (2020). *The 60-year curriculum: New models for lifelong learning in the digital economy*: Routledge.
- Dhukaram, A. V., Sgouropoulou, C., Feldman, G., & Amini, A. (2018). Higher education provision using systems thinking approach—case studies. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 43(1), 3-25.
- Di Lauro, F. (2017). Virtually Sound: Flipped Classrooms and Other Learning Spaces. In *Blended Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2237-2265): IGI Global.
- Diacopoulos, M. M. (2018). *Understanding technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge in an educational technology course: A case study of social studies preservice teacher's beliefs and dispositions*: Old Dominion University.
- Dwivedi, A., Dwivedi, P., Bobek, S., & Zabukovšek, S. S. (2019). Factors affecting students' engagement with online content in blended learning. *Kybernetes*, 48(7), 1500-1515.
- Dwivedi, V. J., & Joshi, Y. C. (2019). Productivity in 21 st century Indian higher education institutions. *International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research*, 9(4), 61-80.
- Ellison, S., & Allen, B. (2018). Disruptive innovation, labor markets, and Big Valley STEM School: network analysis in STEM education. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 13, 267-298.

- Ferguson, A. K., & Childs, R. A. (2016). The capacities teachers need to address equity and diversity. *Teacher Capacities: Knowledge, Beliefs and Skills*, 464.
- Ferreira, D. I. (2019). *A qualitative action research study of barriers to information and communication technology integration at an Eastern Japan liberal arts college*. Northcentral University,
- Fisher, J. T. (2020). *Faculty perceived barriers of online education at a Midwestern University in Ohio*: The University of Toledo.
- Franklin, R. (2020). Student Preference and Academic Performance in Technology-enriched E-learning Environments: An Evaluation of the TTeSN Virtual E-school Network.
- Garrick, B., Pendergast, D., & Geelan, D. (2017). *Theorising personalised education*: Springer.
- Geesa, R. L., Stith, K. M., & Teague, G. M. (2020). Integrative STEM education and leadership for student success. *The Palgrave Handbook of Educational Leadership and Management Discourse*, 1-20.
- Gibson, B. (2020). The Impact Of Professional Development Of Effective Teachers In Low Performing Schools.
- Godwin, M. L., & Meek, J. W. (2016). The scholarly practitioner: Connections of research and practice in the classroom. *Teaching Public Administration*, 34(1), 54-69.
- Goodwin, A. L., Low, E.-L., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). *Empowered educators in Singapore: How high-performing systems shape teaching quality*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Graham, R. (2016). *Techno-resiliency in education*: Springer.
- Greenberg, I. (2020). *Effective Instructional Design Practices for Online Language Learning: Emerging Trends and Implications*. Fielding Graduate University,
- Grevtseva, Y., Willems, J., & Adachi, C. (2017). *Social media as a tool for microlearning in the context of higher education*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of European Conference on social media.
- Groen, J. (2020). *Perceptions of Transformation and Quality in Higher Education: A Case Study of PhD Student Experiences*. Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa,
- Hansen, S. E. (2018). Improving educational and financial effectiveness through innovation: A case study of Southern New Hampshire University's College for America. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 58, 149-158.
- Harris, A., & de Bruin, L. (2017). STEAM Education: Fostering creativity in and beyond secondary schools. *Australian art education*, 38(1), 54-75.
- Hedrick, K. (2018). *Where is Their Artistry? Artistic Disposition and Culturally Responsive Education in the Twenty-first Century Secondary Music Classroom*. Colorado State University,
- Heiser, R., & Ralston-Berg, P. (2018). Distance Education Affordances. *Handbook of Distance Education*.
- Hess, A. N. (2018). *Transforming academic library instruction: Shifting teaching practices to reflect changed perspectives*: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hills, R. A. (2018). Using mobile devices to engage students in attaining and self-reporting the new BC core competencies.
- Hoofd, I. (2016). *Higher Education and Technological Acceleration*: Springer.
- Hovey, C. L. (2017). *Faculty adoption of teaching innovations that promote diversity and student retention in undergraduate computing*. Northeastern University,
- Hu, S. (2019). *Academics' Adoption of Emerging Mobile Technologies within Higher Education in China*. ResearchSpace@ Auckland,
- Ingram, K. L. (2020). *The impact of the higher education policy on the development of human-centric innovation ecosystems in Lithuania*. Mykolo Romerio universitetas,
- Iqbal Imon, M. (2017). *ICT integration in Secondary Education in Bangladesh: A study of Policy and Practice*.
- Jámbo, A. (2019). AN INNOVATIVE SOLUTION: SMART EDUCATION: Digital Education of Malaysia and Hungary. *Publicationes Universitatis Miskolciensis. Sectio Juridica et Politica*, 37(1), 156-169.
- Jarc, J. T. (2018). *Teacher as leader: A Gestalt pedagogical approach to career and technical programs in postsecondary education*. Creighton University,
- Jayapragas, P. (2016). Leaders in education program: The Singapore model for developing effective principal-ship capability. *Current Issues in Comparative Education*, 19(1).
- Jie, N. D. (2016). Towards a framework of education policy analysis. *THF Literature Rev*, 9781003002666-9781003002668.
- Johnson, L. S. (2018). *A case study of career and technical education teacher perceptions of online learning management systems*. Northcentral University,
- Joynes, C., Rossignoli, S., & Amonoo-Kuofi, E. F. (2019). 21st Century Skills: evidence of issues in definition, demand and delivery for development contexts.
- Kandakarla, R. R. (2019). *Reimagining Course Design Using Technology a Case-Study of How Faculty In India Learn to Integrate Technology Tools into Engineering Courses*. Purdue University,
- King, R. M. (2018). The Future of Instruction, Learning and Leadership—Education for Holistic Development, Ethical Leadership and Sustainability. *The ECCSSA Journal*, 1.
- Klichowski, M. (2017). *Learning in CyberParks. A theoretical and empirical study*: Adam Mickiewicz University Press.
- Krug, J. M. M. (2018). *Building Community and Capacity: Institutionalized Faculty Development in Community Colleges*: University of California, Los Angeles.
- Kwak, N. (2019). *American Higher Education in the Post-World War II Era: Rationalized Universities and Empowered Students*: Stanford University.
- Lackéus, M. (2016). *Value creation as educational practice—Towards a new educational philosophy grounded in entrepreneurship?* : Chalmers Tekniska Hogskola (Sweden).
- Lackéus, M., & Williams Middleton, K. (2018). Assessing experiential entrepreneurship education: Key insights from five methods in use at a venture creation programme. *Experiential learning for entrepreneurship: Theoretical and practical perspectives on enterprise education*, 19-49.
- Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies.
- Law, W.-W., & Xu, S. (2017). Social change and teaching and learning citizenship education: An empirical study of three schools in Guangzhou, China. *Citizenship Teaching & Learning*, 12(1), 7-41.
- Lee, C., & Richings, L. (2018). Area 1: An Overview of Evidence for the National Approach to Professional Learning in Education.

- Llewellyn, A. (2019). Innovations in learning and teaching in academic libraries: A literature review. *New Review of Academic Librarianship*, 25(2-4), 129-149.
- Low, E.-L. (2020). English language teacher education for multilingual singapore: Responding to the fourth industrial revolution. *Multilingual Education Yearbook 2020: Teacher Education and Multilingual Contexts*, 129-148.
- Lytras, M. D., Visvizi, A., Daniela, L., Sarirete, A., & Ordonez De Pablos, P. (2018). Social networks research for sustainable smart education. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 2974.
- Manimala, M. J., & Thomas, P. (2017). Entrepreneurship education: innovations and best practices. *Entrepreneurship education: Experiments with curriculum, pedagogy and target groups*, 3-53.
- Marassa, M. (2017). Policy Advocacy: Adoption the 4 C's Rubrics into Illinois Vision 20/20.
- Martin, K. J. (2018). *Educational Development Needs of Higher Education Faculty Working With ELLs*. Walden University,
- Mathews, K. M. (2016). *Transformative models in K-12 education: The impact of a blended universal design for learning intervention. An experimental mixed methods study*. University of San Diego,
- Mayan, O. H. (2019). Understanding Students' Experiences with E-Learning 2.0 in Australian Higher Education: A Grounded Theory Study. *Online Submission*.
- Mcdonald, S., Gertsen, F., Rosenstand, C. A. F., & Tollestrup, C. (2018). Promoting interdisciplinarity through an intensive entrepreneurship education post-graduate workshop. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, 8(1), 41-55.
- Mitchell, M. (2018). *Instructional Leadership for a 21st Century Learning Initiative: How Principals and Teacher Leaders Facilitate Organizational Learning*. George Mason University,
- MITTAL, P. (2020). Creating future ready universities. *REIMAGINING INDIAN UNIVERSITIES*, 1.
- Mohan, B., & Nair, L. R. (2018). Story tellingan effective pedagogy for multicultural education. *SCMS Journal of Indian Management*, 15(3), 81-90.
- Mohiuddin, K., Islam, M. A., Sharif, M., Nur, S., Talukder, M. S., & Alghobiri, M. A. (2020a). Enumeration of Potential Teaching Methods in Higher Education: A Cross-Disciplinary Study. *Education Research International*, 2020, 1-17.
- Mohiuddin, K., Islam, M. A., Sharif, M., Nur, S., Talukder, M. S., & Alghobiri, M. A. (2020b). Research Article Enumeration of Potential Teaching Methods in Higher Education: A Cross-Disciplinary Study.
- Molnar, K. K. (2017). What effect does flipping the classroom have on undergraduate student perceptions and grades? *Education and Information Technologies*, 22, 2741-2765.
- Montebello, M. (2019). *The ambient intelligent classroom: Beyond the indispensable educator* (Vol. 840): Springer.
- Muntean, C. H., Bogusevschi, D., & Muntean, G.-M. (2019). *Innovative technology-based solutions for primary, secondary and tertiary STEM education*. Paragon Publishing,
- Murphy, C. (2016). *Making the shift: Perceptions and challenges of modern learning practice*. University of Waikato,
- Murray, A., Crammond, R., Omeihe, K., & Scuotto, V. (2018). Establishing Methods of Entrepreneurship Education in Nurturing New Entrepreneurs: Exploring entrepreneurial practices. *Journal of Higher Education Service Science and Management*.
- Murray, A., Crammond, R. J., Omeihe, K. O., & Scuotto, V. (2018). Establishing successful methods of entrepreneurship education in nurturing new entrepreneurs: Exploring entrepreneurial practice. *Journal of Higher Education Service Science and Management*, 1(1).
- Narain, U. (2018). Academic Leadership in India: The Case of Liberal Arts Education. *The Business & Management Review*, 10(1), 5-13.
- National Academies of Sciences, E., & Medicine. (2018). *Graduate STEM education for the 21st century*: National Academies Press.
- Naufel, M. (2020). *Design & Analysis of a 21st Century, Scalable, Student-Centric Model of Innovation at the Collegiate Level*. Arizona State University,
- Navani, M. T. (2020). Transition to HE and equitable learning outcomes: challenges for Indian higher education. *Higher Education for the Future*, 7(2), 118-131.
- Netanda, R. S., Mamabolo, J., & Themane, M. (2019). Do or die: student support interventions for the survival of distance education institutions in a competitive higher education system. *Studies in Higher Education*, 44(2), 397-414.
- New, D. (2018). *Student experiences with high school redesign: Investigating the perspectives of diverse students in a personalized learning environment*. University of Lethbridge (Canada).
- Nguyen, H. D., Mai, L. T., & Anh Do, D. (2020). Innovations in creative education for tertiary sector in Australia: Present and future challenges. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 52(11), 1149-1161.
- Oktadiana, H. (2016). A curriculum framework for hospitality and tourism higher education in Indonesia: an exploratory study.
- Omeihe, K., Murray, A., Crammond, R., & Scuotto, V. (2018). Establishing successful methods of entrepreneurship education in nurturing new entrepreneurs: Exploring entrepreneurial practice. *Journal of Higher Education Service Science and Management*.
- Onyegwara, P. (2020). *Middle School Teachers' Experiences Implementing the Workshop Model in Their Classroom*. Walden University,
- Ostini, J., & van der Laan, L. (2016). Enabling innovative postgraduate research: critical foresight and strategic considerations for university leaders. *2016 Queensland University Educators Showcase (QUES 2016)*.
- Ozkan, D. S., McNair, L. D., & Bairaktarova, D. (2019). Teacher learner, learner teacher: parallels and dissonance in an interdisciplinary design education minor. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 62(3), 226-235.
- Pack, S. M., & Peek, S. C. (2020). Engaging Students as Stakeholders through a Student Advisory Board. *J. Phys. Educ*, 7, 74-81.
- Padley, A. (2017). Designing a student-centered learning experience: The Digital Wellbeing Sprint.
- Page, I. (2020). COVID-19 and higher education: some issues. *University News*, 58, 23.
- Parrish, J., Parks, R., & Taylor, A. (2017). Building bridges with student mentoring: A design thinking approach. *College and University*, 92(1), 31.
- Paterson, T., & Prideaux, M. (2020). Exploring collaboration in online group based assessment contexts: Undergraduate Business Program. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 17(3), 3.
- Penta, J. M. (2019). *Designing Student-Centric Solutions through Collaboration: Exploring the Experiences of Higher Education Administrators Leading Cross-Functional Projects and Initiatives*. Northeastern University,
- Perig, A. V. (2018). Didactic student-friendly approaches to more effective teaching of the fundamentals of scientific research in a digital era of scientometrics. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(12), em1632.

- Peterson, A., Dumont, H., Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies: Key themes to analyse new approaches to teaching and learning.
- Pfaffe, L. D. (2017). *Using the SAMR model as a framework for evaluating mLearning activities and supporting a transformation of learning*: St. John's University (New York).
- PLAN, S. (2020). Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion.
- Pors, S. (2016). *Introducing and Implementing an Online Learning Environment in a Cambodian Academic Program: Impacts, Enabline Factors and Constraints*. University of Melbourne, Melbourne Graduate School of Education,
- Rajeswaran, M. C. (2019). Dialogic Paradigm in Teaching and Assessing English for Specific Purposes (ESP) in Higher Education. *IUP Journal of English Studies*, 14(4), 83-97.
- Reigeluth, C. M., Beatty, B. J., & Myers, R. D. (2016). *Instructional-design theories and models, Volume IV: The learner-centered paradigm of education*: Routledge.
- Reimers, F. M., & Chung, C. K. (2019). *Teaching and learning for the twenty-first century: Educational goals, policies, and curricula from six nations*: Harvard Education Press.
- Robles, L. (2016). *A case study of technology-embedded instruction: A student-centered approach to enhance teaching and learning in a K-12 school*. University of Southern California,
- Rodriguez, S., & Lieber, H. (2020). Relationship between entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial mindset, and career readiness in secondary students. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 43(3), 277-298.
- Rose, M. A., Geesa, R. L., & Stith, K. (2019). STEM Leader Excellence: A Modified Delphi Study of Critical Skills, Competencies, and Qualities. *Journal of Technology Education*, 31(1), 42-62.
- Ross, S. M. (2019). Slack it to me: Complementing LMS with student-centric communications for the millennial/post-millennial student. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 41(2), 91-108.
- Roth, M. S. (2020). *Redesigning liberal education: Innovative design for a twenty-first-century undergraduate education*: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Salem, A. (2020). Learning for uncertainty: higher education and sustainability. In *Introduction to Sustainable Development Leadership and Strategies in Higher Education* (pp. 101-114): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Sant Geronikolou, S. (2020). Exploring current practices and Greek and Spanish academic library stakeholder perceptions towards reconceptualizing in-library use data collection ecosystem.
- Sarangapani, P. M. (2020). A cultural view of teachers, pedagogy, and teacher education. *Handbook of education systems in South Asia*, 1-24.
- Sator, A. (2019). The interdependence of technology, pedagogy, and epistemology: A self-study of my pedagogy of technology teacher education.
- Schiele, K., Matzen Jr, R. N., & Bridgewater, M. (2017). Using e-portfolios to demonstrate high-impact educational practices and promote student employment success. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 17(1).
- Sequeira, A. S. (2016). *A Case Study: Technology, Teaching and Student Learning*. University of Southern California,
- Shannon, P. A. (2019). *An Investigation into the Interrelationships between Teacher Pedagogy, Flexible Learning Spaces and Student Engagement*. La Trobe,
- Sharma, K., Khreisat, L., Cvitan, D., & Singh, G. (2019). Fostering an environment for all students to succeed in computer science: Integrating culturally responsive pedagogies with curricula redesign. In *Culturally Responsive Strategies for Reforming STEM Higher Education: Turning the TIDES on Inequity* (pp. 97-114): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Sherwood, R. (2017). Supporting elearners by increasing digital literacy skills in healthcare educators. *Compass: Journal of Learning and Teaching*, 10(1).
- Shih, W.-Y., Pu, Y.-L., & Ho, T.-K. (2019). Construction of a learning scale for postmodern physical education lessons. *South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation*, 41(3), 87-99.
- Shrivastava, A. (2020). Using native advertising approach for knowledge creation in cross cultural studies. *Journal of Teaching in International Business*, 31(2), 154-179.
- Silva, A., Leite, M., Vilas-Boas, J., & Simões, R. (2019). How education background affects design outcome: teaching product development to mechanical engineers, industrial designers and managers. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 44(4), 545-569.
- Sinclair, B. R. (2019). Seeing through the eyes of the other: Student-centric unintended consequences of immersion, collision and expansion. *Kybernetes*, 48(2), 318-332.
- Singh, A. K. (2016). Innovation in Management Education: Status and Strategies. *IIMS Journal of Management Science*, 7(2), 221-233.
- Singh, C. B. (2017). Multilevel exclusion of Dalit students in professional elite colleges of India. *Social Change*, 47(3), 359-372.
- Sivalogathan, V. (2019). Towards Open Distance Learning for Future: Practices and Challenges in Sri Lanka.
- Smith, G. D., Moir, Z., & Brennan, M. (2017). education (r) evolution. *The Routledge research companion to popular music education*, 5.
- Snehi, N. (2019). Higher Education in India: Refocusing Faculty Development. *The Future of Higher Education in India*, 291-306.
- Snehi, N. (2020). Teaching-Learning: A Study of Undergraduate Level of Education. *Teaching Learning and New Technologies in Higher Education*, 85-99.
- Srinivasa Rao, A., Kumar, P., & Aithal, P. (2015). Strategic planning in higher education institutions: A case study of SIMS-VISION 2025. *International Journal of Educational Science and Research (IJSER) ISSN (P)*, 2249-6947.
- Sullivan, T. N. (2020). *Mindful reflection: Does intentional reflection enhance learner creativity and innovation?* Northcentral University,
- Sutin, S. E., & Jacob, W. J. (2016). *Strategic transformation of higher education: Challenges and solutions in a global economy*: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Tan, C. (2018). *Comparing high-performing education systems: understanding Singapore, Shanghai, and Hong Kong*: Routledge.
- Tan, C. (2020). *Confucian philosophy for contemporary education*: Routledge.
- Tan, C., & Hairon, S. (2016). Education reform in China: Toward classroom communities. *Action in Teacher Education*, 38(4), 315-326.
- Tan, H. T., & Heng, A. (2017). *The Impact of Quality of Service and Experience on Students Learning Outcomes in Higher Education Institutions*. University of Canberra,

- Tan, J. P.-L., Koh, E., Chan, M., Costes-Onishi, P., & Hung, D. (2017). Advancing 21st century competencies in Singapore. *National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*.
- Tay, K. S. (2018). *Place-Responsive Outdoor Education Pedagogy in Singapore Secondary Schools: A Case Study*. University of Otago,
- Templeton, C. E. (2019). *An analysis of the pedagogical affordances of a virtual learning environment in a Catholic school*. Morehead State University,
- Thompson, J. (2019). *Narratives of architectural education: From student to architect*: Routledge.
- TOJO, E. B. N., & KISS, B. (2017). Diversity in Education. *Lund: Media-Tryck*.
- ul Amin, S. N. (2018). *ICT integration in education: A smart concept of teaching and learning*: Educreation Publishing.
- Venkateswarlu, P. (2017). Establishing a 'Centre for Engineering Experimentation and Design Simulation': a step towards restructuring engineering education in India. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 42(4), 349-367.
- Venkatraman, S., de Souza-Daw, T., & Kaspi, S. (2018). Improving employment outcomes of career and technical education students. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, 8(4), 469-483.
- Welch, M. (2017). Reframing experiential education: A broader perspective of community engagement. *Experiential Learning & Teaching in Higher Education*, 1(1), 65-86.
- Welch, M. (2019). The craft of community-engaged teaching and learning: A guide for faculty development.
- Westbrook II, D. A. (2016). *Intelligence studies in higher education: Integrating a community of practice pedagogical strategy*: Hampton University.
- Whipp, K. (2015). *Evaluating the implementation of an e-learning innovation in a South Auckland secondary school*.
- Wise, R. (2018). *Instructional Differentiation and Accommodations to Support Student Achievement in SLD and ADHD Secondary School Populations: An Evaluation Study*. University of Southern California,
- Wolfe, B. A., & Riggs, E. M. (2017). Macrosystem analysis of programs and strategies to increase underrepresented populations in the geosciences. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 65(4), 577-593.
- Wolstencroft, P., De Main, L., & Cashian, P. (2020). *Ebook: Achieving Teaching Excellence: Developing Your TEF Profile and Beyond*: McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Wright, N. (2018). Becoming an Innovative Learning Environment. *The making of a New Zealand secondary school*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0764>, Springer.
- Wright, O., & Main, K. (2015). Analysing Peer Pedagogic Practices as a Positive Contribution to Academic Professional Development: A Strategic Approach to Improving Faculty Teaching. In *Teaching for learning and learning for teaching* (pp. 117-133): Brill.
- Zagerman, J. M. (2018). Using song lyrics in teaching an undergraduate statistics course.
- Zaidi, S. A. N. (2020). *The Corporatization of Educational Materials and Its Effects or Influence on the Ways That University Instructors and Professors Teach in an Age of Globalization*. University of Windsor (Canada),
- Zhao, Y., Emler, T. E., Snethen, A., & Yin, D. (2019). *An education crisis is a terrible thing to waste: How radical changes can spark student excitement and success*: Teachers College Press.

© 2021, Author(s).

This open access publication is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material.

However,

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

Non-Commercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes.

Share Alike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license.

You shall not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

There are no additional restrictions.

